

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

February 11, 2003 LB 101

would obviously be published. Anyone wishing to participate as a public citizen...as a citizen, could come to that meeting site and participate. If further would, I think...it's not in the statute, but if by chance a commissioner was in Scottsbluff, for instance, and was snowed in and knew they were going to participate by teleconference and they knew someone was going to want to come down and testify in Lincoln, they could offer that person to also participate with them, say, in Scottsbluff. It makes good common sense. They don't meet every...they wouldn't have to meet every month. I think it states that they must meet six times a year minimum. But the highway commissioners are scattered all over the state, and weather gets to be a problem. And they simply want the ability to conference call, telephone or video conference. It still, obviously, is a public meeting. The amendment strikes the matter that says that five members of the commission constitute a quorum, and then a quorum of that five could pass...do business. Well, we struck that new language out in the amendment, which, I might as well speak to it now. The five members of the commission would all five have to vote in the affirmative to do any business. It would not simply require a quorum of the five. So we strike that. That's what the amendment does, is strike the new matter on line 17. With that, I will make a comment, maybe, on the amendment. Thank you.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Baker. You've heard the opening on the advancement of LB 101. There are committee amendments, as stated. Senator Baker, you're recognized to open on those, as Chairman of the committee. (AM0123, Legislative Journal page 339.)

SENATOR BAKER: Yes. And I...thank you, Senator Cudaback. There was a little brief article in it. The Lincoln Journal, on the editorial page, caught this, and called me. And we'd already had the committee amendment presented. But we caught that during committee. It's on line 17. It would have allowed, had we not amended it with this AM0123 here, to allow three people, actually, out of an eight-person board, to do business. We struck that language. It still requires five in order to do any business. This, again, is just a good, commonsense bill. It came out of committee, I believe, last session, and just